

		Service Of Color		nark Office	F COMMERCE ENTS AND TRADEMARKS
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAP	MED APPLICANT	۸	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/885,770 06/	/30/97 BRINATI		G JAP-	70453	
	IM11/120	07			KAMINER
<del>-</del>	STEIN, MURRAY & ORAI	H LLP	SAROFIM, N		
METROPOLITAN SQUARE 555 15TH ST NW			-	TUSU TRA	PAPER NUMBER
STE 330-G ST LOBBY WASHINGTON DC 20005-0501			1713		10
			127	07/98-50:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION
Ж́тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🔀	is extended to run or continues to run 3 MONTH's from the date of the final rejection
b) 🗀	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
☐ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
X Ap	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 11/18/98 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	<ul> <li>a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.</li> </ul>
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims
з. 🗀	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔲 will not be entered and the status of the claims will
	be as follows:  Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	However
	ART UNIT 155  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. 📈	The affidavit, exhibit of request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because applicant's arguments are contested in M.W. poperties of surfactuation (etc.; the burdan continues on applicant to show a difference by an turking of in restrainted as assessingly
5.	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
	Campons of evidence
☐ The	proposed drawing correction   has   has not been approved by the examiner.
∐ Oth	proposed drawing correction   has   has not been approved by the examiner. Can monscrete in suspects  The claims, Grannetti's b carbon surfactures as  disclosed would enterlate to applicants claimed modernless